## COLLEGE of SCIFNCF **UtahState**University

## Introduction

- Common carp (*Cyprinus carpio,* Figure 1) are one of the most widespread invasive fishes across the globe.<sup>1</sup>
- Aging carp populations has considerable influence over fisheries management and provides insight on species' life histories.<sup>2</sup>
- High biomass of carp in Utah Lake negatively impact the ecosystem and inhibit threatened, endemic June sucker recovery.<sup>1</sup>
- Aging estimates are used to inform carp population models, so accurate carp ages are necessary for reliable models.
- By age 3, most carp in Utah Lake have reached spawning age. Understanding carp dynamics across life history stages (i.e., adult versus juvenile) helps inform population dynamics.
- As aging accuracy is the foundation of this conservation research, including carp control in Utah Lake, and it is imperative that readership agreement is acknowledged throughout aging processes.
- Our objective was to evaluate if readership agreement for Utah Lake carp spines vary between juvenile carp and adult aged carp.

## Methods

#### Carp Aging

- Preserved spines in epoxy to ensure the spines stayed intact then cut spines into thin cross sections using a saw.
- Spine samples were then mounted on a glass slides, imaged (Figure 2), and aged with respect to annulus counts.
- **Readership Agreement Analysis**
- Spines were aged by three independent readers without prior knowledge of fish size or other readers' estimates.
- Readership agreement for agers 1 and 2 when compared to the most experienced ager was calculated using FSA package in R following age bias plot methods in Ogle 2015.
- Percent agreement was calculated using the FSA package in R for both the juvenile age class (0-2) and the adult age class (3+) as described in Ogle 2015.



# **Does Carp Spine Readership Agreement Vary Between** Juvenile and Adult Age Classes in Utah Lake?

Siera Heiner

Quantitative Fisheries and Aquatic Ecology Laboratory, Utah State University, Logan, UT







Figure 3. Age bias plots where points represent mean difference in estimated and experienced ages and lines represent 95% CI. The upper plot shows estimates of ager 1 and the lower plot shows estimates of ager 2.

#### Acknowledgements

Thank you to Utah State University, USU Department of Watershed Sciences, the June Sucker Recovery Implementation Program, Kevin Landom, and Cristina Chirvasa.

### Rae Fadlovich

## Results

- (Figure 3).
- Overall percent agreement 45.31%.
- was 71.43% among all agers.
- was 13.79% among all agers.

## Conclusions

- Future steps include creating an age-length key to estimate ages of Utah Lake carp based on their lengths.



#### References

- and accuracy. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 28, 461-484.
- Ogle, D.H. (2015). Introductory fisheries in R. CRC Press LLC..



• McNemar symmetry was 16.6 for Ager 1 and 0.7 for Ager 2

• Percent agreement within the juvenile age class (ages 0-2) • Percent agreement within the adult age class (ages 3+)

Ager 1 demonstrated a directional bias, showing the potential to consistently under or overestimate carp age. Readership agreement was significantly higher within the juvenile age class than the adult age class. Uncertainty in adult aging can impact model estimates but has less impact on the model's usability for

management decisions than juvenile age uncertainty.

Walsworth, T.E., E. Wallace, and K. Landom. (2022). Common carp population response to ongoing control efforts in Utah Lake. Ecology Center and Watershed Sciences Department, Utah State University, Logan, UT. Vilizzi, L. (2018). Age determination in common carp Cyprinus carpio: history, relative utility of ageing structures, precision

Hoxmeier, R.J.H., Aday, D.D., and Wahl, D.H. (2001). Factors Influencing Precision of Age Estimation from Scales and Otoliths of Bluegills in Illinois Reservoirs. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 21, 374–380.